



22 November 2024



HON. JAIME C. LAYA
 Chairperson
HON. KAYE C. TINGA
 President

CULTURAL CENTER OF THE PHILIPPINES (CCP)
 15th Floor Ramon Magsaysay Center, Quintos St.
 Malate, Manila City

**RE: VALIDATION RESULT OF CCP'S
2023 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD**

Dear Chairperson Laya and President Tinga,

This is to formally transmit the validation result of the 2023 Performance Scorecard of the **CULTURAL CENTER OF THE PHILIPPINES (CCP)**. Based on the Governance Commission's validation of the GOCC's documentary submissions, CCP obtained an overall score of **90.47%** (See **Annex A**). The same is to be posted on CCP's website, in accordance with Section 43 of GCG Memorandum Circular (M.C.) No. 2012-07.¹

FOR CCP'S INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE.

Very truly yours,

ATTY. MARIUS P. CORPUS
 Chairperson

ATTY. BRIAN KEITH F. HOSAKA
 Commissioner

ATTY. GERALDINE MARIE B. BERBERABE-MARTINEZ
 Commissioner

cc: COA Resident Auditor – CCP

¹ Code of Corporate Governance for GOCCs, dated 28 November 2012.



**CULTURAL CENTER OF THE PHILIPPINES (CCP)
Validated 2023 Performance Scorecard**

		Component			CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks														
Objective/Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating																
SO 1 Contribute Significantly to Inclusive Growth, Industry Relevant, and Socially Responsive to the Global Environment																								
SOCIAL IMPACT	SM 1	Number of Events Held in a Year	Absolute Number	10%	Actual / Target	639 (onsite, online and hybrid)	967	10%	665	10%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly summary of accomplishments (with disaggregation per creative department); and Copy of certifications per event indicating the number of shows/events, number of audiences (online and onsite), and number of artists/performers 	<p>A total of 302 documents were found invalid:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Findings</th> <th>No. of Events</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Events without certificates or other supporting document</td> <td>88</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Events reported twice</td> <td>175</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unverifiable/invalid supporting document</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trainings reported as "events"</td> <td>34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>302</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Findings	No. of Events	Events without certificates or other supporting document	88	Events reported twice	175	Unverifiable/invalid supporting document	5	Trainings reported as "events"	34	TOTAL	302
	Findings	No. of Events																						
Events without certificates or other supporting document	88																							
Events reported twice	175																							
Unverifiable/invalid supporting document	5																							
Trainings reported as "events"	34																							
TOTAL	302																							
SM 2	Number of Audiences who Patronized CCP Shows, Productions, Training, and Workshops	Total number of audiences for onsite programs, and total number of <i>unique views</i> for online programs		10%	Actual / Target	152,749 (onsite, online and hybrid)	446,071	10%	265,845	10%	<p>It has been observed that there are significant discrepancies in the audience count based on the supporting documents provided by CCP.</p> <p>The breakdown of the said findings is as follows:</p>													

Component					CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks																
Objective/Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating																		
SOCIAL IMPACT										<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Findings</th> <th>No. of audiences</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Audience count rendered invalid due to lack of supporting document/s</td> <td>27,666</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Online audience count not reflecting unique viewers</td> <td>154,701</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not indicated in the certificate/supporting documents</td> <td>126</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Audience count reported in the wrong quarter</td> <td>4,633</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Underreported audience count</td> <td>(7,268)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overreported audience count</td> <td>368</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>180,226</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Findings	No. of audiences	Audience count rendered invalid due to lack of supporting document/s	27,666	Online audience count not reflecting unique viewers	154,701	Not indicated in the certificate/supporting documents	126	Audience count reported in the wrong quarter	4,633	Underreported audience count	(7,268)	Overreported audience count	368	TOTAL	180,226
	Findings	No. of audiences																								
	Audience count rendered invalid due to lack of supporting document/s	27,666																								
	Online audience count not reflecting unique viewers	154,701																								
	Not indicated in the certificate/supporting documents	126																								
	Audience count reported in the wrong quarter	4,633																								
	Underreported audience count	(7,268)																								
	Overreported audience count	368																								
TOTAL	180,226																									
SM 3	Number of Artists and Performers Engaged for CCP Productions and Co-Productions/ Shows	Absolute Number	20%	Actual / Target	4,403 (onsite, online and hybrid)	17,639	20%	12,378	20%	The following were the discrepancies observed, as summarized below:																

Component					CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks																
Objective/Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating																		
										<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Findings</th> <th>No. of artists/performers</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No supporting documents submitted</td> <td>4,830</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Resource Speakers were included in reporting</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Artists participating in events that are not classified as a show, production, or co-production of CCP</td> <td>94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Artist was not indicated in the certificates or any supporting documents submitted</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reported in multiple quarters</td> <td>425</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Underreported artist/performer/s</td> <td>(156)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>5,261</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Findings	No. of artists/performers	No supporting documents submitted	4,830	Resource Speakers were included in reporting	52	Artists participating in events that are not classified as a show, production, or co-production of CCP	94	Artist was not indicated in the certificates or any supporting documents submitted	16	Reported in multiple quarters	425	Underreported artist/performer/s	(156)	TOTAL	5,261
Findings	No. of artists/performers																									
No supporting documents submitted	4,830																									
Resource Speakers were included in reporting	52																									
Artists participating in events that are not classified as a show, production, or co-production of CCP	94																									
Artist was not indicated in the certificates or any supporting documents submitted	16																									
Reported in multiple quarters	425																									
Underreported artist/performer/s	(156)																									
TOTAL	5,261																									
	Sub-total	40%				40%		40%																		
IS	SO 2	Nurture the Next Generation of Artists and Audiences Who Appreciate and Support Artistic and Cultural Work																								

Objective/Measure		Component				CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks																		
		Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating																				
SM 4	Number of Beneficiaries of Workshops, Trainings and Education Activities for Artists, Teachers, Students, Trainers, LGUs, Cultural Agencies and Marginalized Youth, Underprivileged Communities and Other Sectors of Society	Absolute Number	15%	Actual / Target	4,754 (onsite, online and hybrid)	24,102	15%	18,051	15%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly summary of accomplishments (with disaggregation per creative department) Copy of certifications per event explicitly indicating the number of beneficiaries 	<p>The variance is attributed to the following findings shown below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Findings</th> <th>No. of reported beneficiaries</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Invalid beneficiary</td> <td>308</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Audiences counted as beneficiary</td> <td>1,179</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No supporting document submitted</td> <td>281</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reported in multiple quarters</td> <td>190</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Beneficiaries were not indicated in the submitted certificates</td> <td>4,344</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Underreported beneficiaries</td> <td>(369)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Invalid documents presented</td> <td>118</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>6,051</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Findings	No. of reported beneficiaries	Invalid beneficiary	308	Audiences counted as beneficiary	1,179	No supporting document submitted	281	Reported in multiple quarters	190	Beneficiaries were not indicated in the submitted certificates	4,344	Underreported beneficiaries	(369)	Invalid documents presented	118	TOTAL	6,051
Findings	No. of reported beneficiaries																												
Invalid beneficiary	308																												
Audiences counted as beneficiary	1,179																												
No supporting document submitted	281																												
Reported in multiple quarters	190																												
Beneficiaries were not indicated in the submitted certificates	4,344																												
Underreported beneficiaries	(369)																												
Invalid documents presented	118																												
TOTAL	6,051																												
SO 3	Achieve Reputation for Excellence, Professionalism, and Competence																												

		Component				CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks
Objective/Measure		Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
STAKEHOLDERS	SM 5	Percentage of Satisfied Customers	Total number of satisfied customers ÷ Total number of respondents	5%	Actual / Target Below 80%= 0%	90%	95.59%	5%	Non-compliant	0%	• Harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement Results of GOCCs covered by RA 10149 In accordance with Section 5.2.2.3 of JMC No. 1 s. 2023 of ARTA and GCG, CCP is considered non-compliant due to the late submission of the CSM Report to ARTA.
			Sub-total	20%				20%		15%	
INTERNAL PROCESS	SO 4 Efficient and Effective Delivery of Technical and Artistic Services and Use of Financial Resources										
	SM 6	Number of New and Renewed Satellite Partnerships Forged Nationwide	Total number of satellite partnerships forged	5%	Actual / Target	19	22	5%	22	5%	• Memoranda of Understanding with partners • City of Dapitan • City of Lamitan • City of San Jose del Monte • Province of Zamboanga del Sur • Holy Cross of Davao College • City of Malolos • Municipality of San Francisco
	SM 7	Compliance to Quality Standards	Actual Accomplishment	5%	Pass or Fail	Pass 1st Surveillance Audit	Passed 1st Surveillance Audit	5%	Passed 1st surveillance audit	5%	• Report of the surveillance audit conducted on 20 October 2023 Scope: Provision of arts education, cultural exchange management, production and exhibition management, cultural content management, venue and asset management. Date of Audit: 20 October 2023
INT	SO 5 Effective Management of CCP Facilities and Other Assets										

Objective/Measure		Component				CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks
		Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
SM 8	Percentage of Activities Completed in the Implementation of Maintenance Program of CCP Complex Based on the Timeline	No. of Planned Maintenance Activities Implemented / No. of Planned Maintenance Activities	5%	Actual / Target	93%	91.86%	4.94%	97.38%	5%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preventive Maintenance Quarterly Monitoring Report 	Using the formula indicated in the approved 2023 scorecard, the CCP's accomplishment for this measure is calculated as follows: $= \frac{11,598.8}{11,911} \times 100\% = 97.38\%$
SM 9	CCP Main Building Rehabilitation	Weight Factor x Quantity Accomplished	5%	Actual / Target	64.92%	26.62%	2.05%	26.62%	2.05%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Justification/s for exclusion S-curve Report Weekly Progress Report 24-31 Dec 2023 	Based on evaluation of the supporting documents, there was no indication that the CCP approved the request of its third-party contractor to extend the duration of the project. CCP failed to sufficiently justify its request for exclusion for this measure.
		Sub-total	20%				16.99%		17.05%		
LE	SO 6 Develop a Loyal, Competent, and Efficient Workforce Towards Fulfilling a Vital Role in the Cultural Institution										

Objective/Measure		Component				CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks												
		Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating														
SM 10	Percentage of Employees with Required Competencies Met	No. of Employees with Required Competencies Met / Total Employees	5%	Pass or Fail	Increase from 2022 Competency Level	3.10% increase from 2022 – 14.49% (rebased)	5%	4.08% increase from 2022 – 8.88% (rebased)	5%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of Revised CA Result for 2022 and 2023 2022 and 2023 Individual Summary Assessment Form Competency Assessment Form 	<p>The 2022 accomplishment was revalidated to include only employees with Expert rating in the numerator. Further, only ratings pertaining to the required competency level were considered.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Employees</th> <th>Rating</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2023</td> <td>28 of 216</td> <td>12.96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2022</td> <td>19 of 214</td> <td>8.88%</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Increase</td> <td>4.08%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Employees	Rating	2023	28 of 216	12.96%	2022	19 of 214	8.88%	Increase		4.08%
Year	Employees	Rating																					
2023	28 of 216	12.96%																					
2022	19 of 214	8.88%																					
Increase		4.08%																					
Sub-total			5%				5%		5%														
SO 7 Adopt Strategy-Based Plan to Achieve Organizational and Financial Stability																							
SM 11	Total Revenue (Excluding Subsidy)	Actual Total Revenue Less NG Subsidy	10%	Actual / Target	₱ 243.288 Million	₱ 223.520 Million	9.19%	₱ 214.114 Million	8.80%	• COA-audited Financial Performance and Notes	Other non-operating income and gains are excluded because these were not considered in the DBM-approved COB and during target-setting.												
Budget Utilization Rate (BUR)																							
SM 12	a. Subsidy Utilization Rate - Obligation	Total Obligated Subsidy / Total COB from Subsidy (net of PS Cost)	2%	Actual / Target	90%	88.96%	1.98%	92.95%	2%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DBM-received Statement of Allotment, Obligations, Utilizations, and Balances COA-audited Report on Statement of Comparison of Budget and 	<p>The computation of the Subsidy Utilization Rates are as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">BUR (in ₱ '000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Subsidy (a)</td> <td>461.267</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Obligation (b)</td> <td>428.728</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Disbursed (c)</td> <td>288.101</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Oblig. Rate.^{b/a}</td> <td>92.95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Disb. Rate^{c/b}</td> <td>67.20%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	BUR (in ₱ '000)		Subsidy (a)	461.267	Obligation (b)	428.728	Disbursed (c)	288.101	Oblig. Rate. ^{b/a}	92.95%	Disb. Rate ^{c/b}	67.20%
	BUR (in ₱ '000)																						
Subsidy (a)	461.267																						
Obligation (b)	428.728																						
Disbursed (c)	288.101																						
Oblig. Rate. ^{b/a}	92.95%																						
Disb. Rate ^{c/b}	67.20%																						
b. Subsidy Utilization Rate - Disbursement	Total Disbursement / Total	1.5%	Actual / Target	90%	90%	1.50%	67.20%	1.12%															

FINANCIAL

FINANCIA

Component					CCP Submission		GCG Validated		Supporting Documents	Remarks
Objective/Measure	Formula	Wt.	Rating System	Target	Actual	Rating	Score	Rating		
	Obligations <i>(net of PS Cost)</i>								Actual Amounts (SCBAA) • Utilization and Balances Report	Since CCP's Corporate Fund was utilized for Personnel Services only, CCP earns the full points for this sub-measure.
c. Corporate Fund Utilization Rate - Disbursement	Total Disbursement from IGF / Total COB from IGF <i>(net of PS Cost)</i>	1.5%	Actual / Target	90%	100%	1.50%	100%	1.50%		
	Sub-total	15%				14.17%		13.42%		
	TOTAL	100%				91.16%		90.47%		